

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE В 102286.410 06/17/99 **JAKOBSEN** 09/334,969 **EXAMINER** HM12/1003 DIBRING.M HOLLIE L BAKER HALE AND DORR LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 60 STATE STREET 10 1644

DATE MAILED:

10/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BOSTON MA 02109

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/334,969 Examiner

Group Art Unit

Karsten et al

	Marianne DiBrino	1644	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/17	199		
☐ This action is FINAL.	,		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire			
Disposition of Claim			
X Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>		is/are pend	ing in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is	s/are withdrawn	from consideration
Claim(s)		is/are	e allowed.
Claim(s)		is/are	e rejected.
Claim(s)		is/are	e objected to.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddisapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). AllSome*			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-305-3704. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hassle, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-27, drawn to a synthetic multivalent TCR complex, classified in Class 530, subclass 395.
- II. Claims 28-31, drawn to a method for detecting MHC-peptide complexes, classified in Class 424, subclass 193.1.
- III. Claims 32 and 33, drawn to a method for delivery of a therapeutic agent to a cell, classified in Class 424, subclass 193.1 and Class 514, subclass 885.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunopurification procedures or for the production of antibodies.

4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as immunopurification procedures or for the production of antibodies.

Serial No. 09/334,969 Art Unit 1644

5. Inventions II and III are different methods

These inventions require different ingredients and process steps to accomplish the use of detecting MHC-peptide complexes or for delivering a therapeutic agent to a cell, respectively. For example, the method of Invention III has the steps of contacting TCR complexes with MHC-peptide complexes and detecting binding, whereas the method of Invention IV has the steps of contacting TCR complexes associated with a therapeutic agent with target cells.

Therefore they are patentably distinct.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I/II/III is not required for any other group from Groups I/II/III and Groups I/II/III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. If Applicant elects Group I or Group II, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a <u>specific complex</u>, (for example, a dimer, trimer or tetramer comprising specific chains, i.e., $\alpha\beta$, $\alpha\gamma$, $\alpha\delta$, $\beta\gamma$, $\beta\delta$, $\gamma\delta$) and a specific linker molecule (for example, avidin, streptavidin or extravidin) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

These species are distinct because dimer, trimer or tetramer TCR complexes have different structures and $\alpha\beta$, $\alpha\gamma$, $\alpha\delta$, $\beta\gamma$, $\beta\delta$, $\gamma\delta$ combinations involve different combinations of different proteins having different structures and properties.

8. If Applicant elects Group III or Group IV, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a specific method using a specific TCR complex and a specific linker molecule as in #7 supra, to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

These methods are distinct because they employ structurally different complexes to accomplish different goals.

- 9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 10. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial No. 09/334,969 Art Unit 1644

- 11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).
- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 15. A telephone call was made to Hollie L. Baker on 4/6/00 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is (703) 308-0061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Serial No. 09/334,969 Art Unit 1644

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Group 1640 Technology Center 1600

September 29, 2000

CHRISTINA Y. CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP, 1800 / 6 / W